

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,941	BEZUIJEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie Wong	2164	

All Participants:

(1) Leslie Wong.

(2) Mr. Roland E. Long, Jr (703) 421-2297.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

55, 79, and 81

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to request authorization to amend claims 79 and 81 to overcome the 101 issues. Claim 79, "computer-readable medium" will be changed to "computer-readable storage medium" and "instructions executable" will be changed to "instructions executed". In claim 81, delete the words "enabling" and replace "when" to "and". The representative authorized the Examiner to enter the above mentioned amendments via an Examiner's Amendment..